

SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT

Customer: StudentCoin **Date**: April 8th, 2021



This document may contain confidential information about IT systems and the intellectual property of the Customer as well as information about potential vulnerabilities and methods of their exploitation.

The report containing confidential information can be used internally by the Customer, or it can be disclosed publicly after all vulnerabilities fixed - upon a decision of the Customer.

Document

Name	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for StudentCoin.			
Approved by	Andrew Matiukhin CTO Hacken OU			
Туре	Token			
Platform	Ethereum / Solidity			
Methods	Architecture Review, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification, Manual			
	Review			
Repository	https://github.com/StudentCoinTeam/stc-erc20-v2			
Commit	412905ffa414c34b75fa4c52e5993318b2a5f067			
Deployed	0x15B543e986b8c34074DFc9901136d9355a537e7E			
contract				
Timeline	6 APR 2021 – 8 APR 2021			
Changelog	8 APR 2021 – INITIAL AUDIT			



Table of contents

Document	
Table of contents	
Introduction	
Scope	
Executive Summary	
Severity Definitions	6
AS-IS overview	7
Conclusion	11
Disclaimers	12



Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by StudentCoin (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of Customer's smart contract and its code review conducted between April 6th, 2021 – April 8th, 2021.

Scope

The scope of the project is smart contracts in the repository:

Contract deployment address: 0x15B543e986b8c34074DFc9901136d9355a537e7E

Repository: https://github.com/StudentCoinTeam/stc-erc20-v2

File:

STCERC20.sol

We have scanned this smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that are considered:

Category	Check Item			
Code review	Reentrancy			
	Ownership Takeover			
	Timestamp Dependence			
	Gas Limit and Loops			
	DoS with (Unexpected) Throw			
	 DoS with Block Gas Limit 			
	 Transaction-Ordering Dependence 			
	Style guide violation			
	Costly Loop			
	ERC20 API violation			
	 Unchecked external call 			
	 Unchecked math 			
	 Unsafe type inference 			
	Implicit visibility level			
	 Deployment Consistency 			
	Repository Consistency			
	Data Consistency			



Functional review	 Business Logics Review
	Functionality Checks
	 Access Control & Authorization
	Escrow manipulation
	 Token Supply manipulation
	Assets integrity
	 User Balances manipulation
	Kill-Switch Mechanism
	 Operation Trails & Event Generation

Executive Summary

According to the assessment, the Customer's smart contracts are secured.

Insecure	Poor secured	Secured	Well-secured	
	Yo	u are here		

Our team performed an analysis of code functionality, manual audit, and automated checks with Mythril and Slither. All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed, and important vulnerabilities are presented in the Audit overview section. A general overview is presented in AS-IS section, and all found issues can be found in the Audit overview section.

Security engineers found 1 medium issue during the audit.

Medium 100%

Graph 1. The distribution of vulnerabilities after the first review.

Medium



Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have a significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g., public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused, etc. code snippets that can't have a significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations, and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.



AS-IS overview

STCERC20.sol

Description

STCERC20 is token contract.

Imports

STCERC20 contract has following imports:

• ERC20 – from the OpenZeppelin.

Inheritance

STCERC20 is ERC20.

Structs

STCERC20 contract has no data structures.

Enums

STCERC20 contract has no enums.

Events

STCERC20 contract has no custom events.

Modifiers

STCERC20 contract has no modifiers.

Fields

STCERC20 contract has no fields and constants.

Functions

STCERC20 contract has following functions:

constructor



Description

Initializes the	contract	Nainta 1	hillian	takans ta	the deale	war addrace
iiiillializes tile	contract.	ινιιιιις τι	ווטווווט נ	tokens to	the depic	iver auuress.

Visibilitypublic

Input parameters

None

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

None

batchTransfer

Description

Used to send tokens to multiple addresses.

Visibility

public

Input parameters

- address[] calldata destinations
- uint256[] calldata amounts

Constraints

• The length of the amounts should be the same as destinations length.



Hacken OÜ Parda 4, Kesklinn, Tallinn, 10151 Harju Maakond, Eesti, Kesklinna, Estonia support@hacken.io

Events emit

None

Output

None



Audit overview

■■■ Critical

No critical issues were found.

High

No high issues were found.

■ ■ Medium

1. batchTransfer function has a costly loop. For big input arrays, the gas limit can be reached.

Low

No low severity issues were found.

■ Lowest / Code style / Best Practice

No lowest severity issues were found.



Conclusion

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. For the contract, high-level description of functionality was presented in As-Is overview section of the report.

Audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

Security engineers found 1 medium issue during the audit.

Violations in the following categories were found and addressed to Customer:

Category	Check Item	Comments
Code review	Costly loop	batchTransfer function has a costly loop.



Disclaimers

Hacken Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment, and functionality (performing the intended functions).

The audit makes no statements or warranties on security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only - we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure security of smart contracts.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have its vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contracts.