

SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT



Customer: Mogul Date: April 23rd, 2021



This document may contain confidential information about IT systems and the intellectual property of the Customer as well as information about potential vulnerabilities and methods of their exploitation.

The report containing confidential information can be used internally by the Customer, or it can be disclosed publicly after all vulnerabilities are fixed - upon a decision of the Customer.

Document

Name	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for Mogul - Second Review
Approved by	Andrew Matiukhin CTO Hacken OU
Туре	NFT with Marketplace
Platform	Ethereum / Solidity
Methods	Architecture Review, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification, Manual Review
Zip-archive	<pre>https://github.com/mogulproductions/nft-marketplace- contracts/commit/f04607c827b9c1eac2066f00bc369ad4bea3860f</pre>
Timeline	20 April 2021 - 23 April 2021
Changelog	21 April 2021 - initial audit
	23 APRIL 2021 - SECOND REVIEW



Table of contents

Introduction	4
Scope	4
Executive Summary	6
Severity Definitions	7
AS-IS overview	8
Audit overview	16
Conclusion	18
Disclaimers	19

This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.



Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by Mogul (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of Customer's smart contract and its code review conducted on April 23^{rd} , 2021.

Scope

The scope of the project is the following solidity contracts: https://github.com/mogulproductions/nft-marketplacecontracts/commit/f04607c827b9c1eac2066f00bc369ad4bea3860f <u>contracts/MogulMarketplace.sol</u> (md5:e63885915a4c7de50a9eebd07b180c7a) <u>contracts/MogulNFT.sol</u> (md5:c0da89f5e3fd17d52c377b651295508a)

We have scanned these smart contracts for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that are considered:

Category	Check Item
Code review	Reentrancy
	 Ownership Takeover
	 Timestamp Dependence
	 Gas Limit and Loops
	 DoS with (Unexpected) Throw
	 DoS with Block Gas Limit
	 Transaction-Ordering Dependence
	 Style guide violation
	Costly Loop
	 ERC20 API violation
	 Unchecked external call
	 Unchecked math
	 Unsafe type inference
	Implicit visibility level
	 Deployment Consistency
	 Repository Consistency
	Data Consistency

This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.



Functional review	 Business Logics Review
	 Functionality Checks
	 Access Control & Authorization
	 Escrow manipulation
	 Token Supply manipulation
	 Asset's integrity
	 User Balances manipulation
	 Kill-Switch Mechanism
	 Operation Trails & Event Generation

This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.



Executive Summary

According to the assessment, the Customer's smart contract is well-secured.

Insecure	Poor secured	Secured	Well-secured
Our team perf automated chec analysis were in the Audit	ormed an analysis of ks with Mythril and Sli manually reviewed, and overview section. A g	You are here code tunctionality, ther. All issues four important vulnerabil: eneral overview is p	manual audit, and nd during automated ities are presented presented in AS-IS
section, and a	11 found issues can be	found in the Audit o	overview section.

Security engineers found 1 high, 3 Low and 3 informational issues during the first review.

Security engineers found **no issues** during the second review.

Graph 1. The distribution of vulnerabilities after the first review.



This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.



Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have a significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g., public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused, etc. code snippets that can't have a significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations,
Style / Best	and info statements can't affect smart contract
Practice	execution and can be ignored.

This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.



AS-IS overview

MogulMarketplace.sol

Description

MogulMarketplace is a contract for NFT Marketplace.

Imports

MogulMarketplace has following imports:

- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/IERC1155.sol"
- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol"
- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/utils/SafeERC20.sol"
- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/utils/ERC1155Holder.sol"
- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/access/AccessControl.sol"
- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/SafeMath.sol"
- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/EnumerableSet.sol"
- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/security/ReentrancyGuard.sol"
- import "@chainlink/contracts/src/v0.6/interfaces/AggregatorV3Interface.sol"

Inheritance

MogulMarketplace is ERC1155Holder, AccessControl and ReentrancyGuard

Usages

MogulMarketplace has following usages:

- EnumerableSet for EnumerableSet.UintSet
- SafeERC20 for IERC20
- SafeMath for uint256

Structs

MogulMarketplace has following structures:

- Listing stores marketplace listing info
- Auction stores auction info
- Bid stores auction bid info

Enums

MogulMarketplace has no enums

Events

MogulMarketplace has following events:

 event ListingCreated(string label, address tokenAddress, uint256 tokenId, uint256 numTokens,



uint256 price,

bool isStarsListing);

- event Sale(address buyer, uint256 listingId, uint256 amount);
- event AuctionEnded(address winner, uint256 auctionId);
- event AuctionCancelled(uint256 auctionId);

Modifiers

MogulMarketplace has the following modifier:

• modifier onlyAdmin {
 require(hasRole(ROLE_ADMIN, msg.sender), "Sender is not admin");
 _;
}

Fields

MogulMarketplace has following fields and constants:

- AggregatorV3Interface priceOracle
- bytes32 public constant ROLE_ADMIN = keccak256("ROLE_ADMIN")
- address payable public treasuryWallet
- IERC20 stars
- uint256 nextListingId = 0
- mapping(uint256 => Listing) public listings
- EnumerableSet.UintSet private listingIds
- mapping(uint256 => Auction) public auctions
- EnumerableSet.UintSet private auctionIds

Functions

MogulMarketplace has following public and external functions

• constructor

Description

Initializes the contract. Assigns the <u>ROLE_ADMIN</u> role to the provided <u>admin</u> address. Stores provided <u>treasuryWallet</u> address into the <u>treasuryWallet</u> state variable. Stores provided <u>starsAddress</u> as <u>IERC20</u> into the <u>stars</u> state variable

Input parameters

- address starsAddress
- o address _admin
- address payable _treasuryWallet

Constraints

- Protected by <u>ReentrancyGuard</u>
- \circ _treasuryWallet should not be zero-address

Events emit

Emits <u>RoleGranted</u> and <u>RoleAdminChanged</u> events.

Output

None



•

Hacken OÜ Parda 4, Kesklinn, Tallinn, 10151 Harju Maakond, Eesti, Kesklinna, Estonia support@hacken.io

• setPriceOracle

Description Stores provided priceOracleAddress as AggregatorV3Interface into the <u>priceOracle</u> state variable

Input parameters

address priceOracleAddress
 Constraints

 onlyAdmin modifier used

 Events emit

 None
 Output
 None

listTokens Description Creates a new listing

Input parameters

- \circ string memory label
- address tokenAddress
- uint256 tokenId
- uint256 numTokens
- uint256 price
- bool isStarsListing

Constraints

- <u>onlyAdmin</u> modifier used
- Protected by <u>ReentrancyGuard</u>

Events emit

Emits <a href="https://www.emits-emits-content

Output

None

 removeListing Description Removes a listing

Input parameters

uint256 listingId
 Constraints

 onlyAdmin modifier used

 Events emit

 Emits ListingCreated event
 Output

 None



buyTokens Description

Buy a token. Payable function.

Input parameters

- uint256 listingId
- uint256 amount

Constraints

- Protected by <u>ReentrancyGuard</u>
- <u>listingIds</u> should contain provided <u>listingId</u>
- listing.numTokens should be greater or equal to the provided amount
- sent <u>msg.value</u> should be equal <u>listing.price</u> multiplied by the provided <u>amount</u> in case of listing is not the stars listing

Events emit Emits <u>Sale</u> event Output

None

• startAuction

Description Start an auction

Input parameters

- string memory label
- address tokenAddress
- uint256 tokenId
- uint256 numTokens
- uint256 startingStarsPrice
- uint256 startingEthPrice
- uint256 startTime
- uint256 endTime
- bool allowStarsBids
- bool allowEthBids

Constraints

- <u>onlyAdmin</u> modifier used
- Protected by <u>ReentrancyGuard</u>
- <u>allowStarsBids</u> or <u>allowEthBids</u> should be true

Events emit

None

Output

None

bid

Description

Send in a bid and refund the previous highest bidder. Payable function

Input parameters



- \circ uint256 auctionId
- bool isStarsBid
- uint256 amount

Constraints

- Protected by <u>ReentrancyGuard</u>
- \circ $% \left({{\left({{\left({{{\left({{{\left({1 \right)}} \right.}} \right)}_{c}}} \right)}_{c}}} \right)} \right)$ auction should be already started
- in case of starsBid: auction should have <u>allowStarsBids</u> set true
- in case of starsBid: provided <u>amount</u> should be higher than <u>highestStarsBid</u> and also be higher than auction's <u>startingStarsPrice</u>
- in case of not starsBid: auctions should have <u>allowEthBids</u> set true
- in case of not starsBid: provided amount should be higher than
- <u>highestEthBid</u> and also be higher than auction's <u>startingEthPrice</u>
- in case of not starsBid: provided <u>amount</u> should be equal to the sent msg.value

Events emit

None **Output** None

• endAuctionWithoutOracle

Description

End auctions and reward the winner without needing a price Oracle. The caller chooses whether the Stars bid or Ether bid was higher.

Input parameters

- \circ uint256 auctionId
- bool didStarsBidWin

Constraints

- Protected by <u>ReentrancyGuard</u>
- <u>onlyAdmin</u> modifier used
- <u>auctionId</u> should exist in the <u>auctionIds</u>
- timestamp should be greater or equal to auction's endTime
- auction should have <u>allowStarsBids</u> set true if <u>didStarsBidWin</u> is true or allowEthBids otherwise

Events emit

Emits <u>AuctionEnded</u> event **Output** None

endAuction

Description

End auctions and reward the winner. If the auction supported both Stars and eth bids, uses the oracle to determine who won

Input parameters

○ uint256 auctionId

Constraints



onlyAdmin modifier used 0 0 Protected by <u>ReentrancyGuard</u> auctionId should exist in the auctionIds 0 0 timestamp should be greater or equal to auction's endTime Events emit Emits AuctionEnded event Output None cancelAuction Description Cancel auction and refund bidders Input parameters ○ uint256 auctionId Constraints • <u>onlyAdmin</u> modifier used Protected by <u>ReentrancyGuard</u> 0 auctionId should exist in the auctionIds Events emit Emits <u>AuctionCancelled</u> event Output None withdrawETH Description Withdraw ETH to treasury wallet Input parameters None Constraints • <u>onlyAdmin</u> modifier used should be no running auctions Events emit None Output None withdrawStars • Description Withdraw Stars to treasury wallet Input parameters None Constraints • <u>onlyAdmin</u> modifier used should be no running auctions Events emit



None
Output
None

 getStarsPrice, supportsInterface, getNumListings, getListingIds, getListingAtIndex, getNumAuctions, getAuctionIds, getAuctionAtIndex, Description Simple View functions.

MogulNFT.sol

Description

MogulNFT is an ERC1155 contract

Imports

MogulNFT has following imports:

- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/ERC1155.sol"
- import "@openzeppelin/contracts/access/AccessControl.sol"

Inheritance

MogulNFT is ERC1155 and AccessControl

Usages MogulNFT has no usages

Structs

MogulNFT has no structures

Enums

MogulNFT has no enums

Events

MogulNFT has no custom events

Modifiers

MogulNFT has the following modifier:

• modifier onlyAdmin {
 require(hasRole(ROLE_ADMIN, msg.sender), "Sender is not admin");
 _;
}

Fields

MogulNFT has following fields and constants:

• bytes32 public constant ROLE_ADMIN = keccak256("ROLE_ADMIN");



uint256 nextTokenId = 0;

Functions

MogulNFT has following public and external functions

- constructor
 - Description

Initializes the contract. Assigns the <u>ROLE_ADMIN</u> role to the provided <u>_admin</u> address.

Input parameters

address _admin
 Constraints
 None
 Events emit
 Emits <u>RoleGranted</u> and <u>RoleAdminChanged</u> events.
 Output
 None

• setUri

```
Description
```

Sets a new URI for all token types, by relying on the token type ID substitution mechanism

```
Input parameters

o string memory newUri

Constraints

o <u>onlyAdmin</u> modifier used

Events emit

None

Output

None
```

mintToken
 Description

Mint a new ERC1155 Token

Input parameters

- address recipient,
- uint256 amount,
- bytes memory data

Constraints

<u>onlyAdmin</u> modifier used
 Events emit
 None
 Output
 None



Audit overview

Critical

No Critical severity issues were found.

🗕 🔳 📕 High

 Vulnerability: Re-entrancy bug Contracts: MogulMarketplace Method: <u>buyTokens(uint256, uint256)</u>

State variable updated after calling an external function.

Fixed before second review

Medium

No Medium severity issues were found.

Low

 Vulnerability: Missing zero address validation. Contract: MogulMarketplace

No checking for zero address for <u>treasuryWallet</u> in the constructor. In case of zero address provided there is no ability to change it later, therefore <u>withdrawETH</u> and <u>withdrawStars</u> functions will fail.

Fixed before second review

 Vulnerability: Benign reentrancy. Contract: MogulMarketplace

<u>listTokens</u> and <u>startAuction</u> contain a reentrancy. The reentrancy is benign because it's exploitation would have the same effect as two consecutive calls.

Fixed before second review

3. Vulnerability: Events reentrancy. Contract: MogulMarketplace

> This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.



buyTokens, **endAuction**, **endAuctionWithoutOracle** and **listTokens** contain a reentrancy. If such reentrancies happen, the corresponding events fired by functions will be shown in an incorrect order, which might lead to issues for third parties.

Fixed before second review

Lowest / Code style / Best Practice

 Vulnerability: send / transfer reentrancy. Contract: MogulMarketplace

<u>send</u> and <u>transfer</u> do not protect from reentrancies in case of gas price changes

Fixed before second review

2. Vulnerability: Unused state variable Contract: MogulMarketplace

nextAuctionId is defined but never used in the contract

Fixed before second review

3. Vulnerability: Public function that could be declared external Contracts: MogulMarketplace, MogulNFT

public functions that are never called by the contract should be declared **external** to save gas.

Fixed before second review

This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.



Conclusion

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. For the contract, high-level description of functionality was presented in As-Is overview section of the report.

Audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

Security engineers found $1\ \text{high},\ 3\ \text{Low}$ and $3\ \text{informational}$ issues during the first review.

Security engineers found no issues during the second review.

This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.



Disclaimers

Hacken Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment, and functionality (performing the intended functions).

The audit makes no statements or warranties on security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only - we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure security of smart contracts.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have its vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contracts.