

SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT



Customer: KingDeFi Date: October 15th, 2021



This document may contain confidential information about IT systems and the intellectual property of the Customer as well as information about potential vulnerabilities and methods of their exploitation.

The report containing confidential information can be used internally by the Customer, or it can be disclosed publicly after all vulnerabilities are fixed – upon a decision of the Customer.

Document

Name	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for KingDeFi.		
Approved by	Andrew Matiukhin CTO Hacken OU		
Туре	DeFi; Staking		
Platform	Binance Smart Chain / Solidity		
Methods	Architecture Review, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification, Manual Review		
Repository	<pre>https://github.com/KingDeFi-Solidity/solidity-smart- contracts/tree/master/auto-farms/contracts</pre>		
Commit	91c45116e825edeed07c410cf0eb447ccfc06800		
Technical Documentation	YES		
JS tests	YES		
Website	kingdefi.io		
Timeline	07 OCTOBER 2021 - 15 OCTOBER 2021		
Changelog	13 OCTOBER 2021 - INITIAL AUDIT 15 OCTOBER 2021 - Second Review		



Table of contents

Introduction	
Scope	4
Executive Summary	5
Severity Definitions	7
Audit overview	8
Conclusion	9
Disclaimers	10



Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by KingDeFi (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of the Customer's smart contract and its code review conducted between October 7th, 2021 - October 13th, 2021.

Second code review conducted on October 15th, 2021.

Scope

```
The scope of the project is smart contracts in the repository:
Repository:
      https://github.com/KingDeFi-Solidity/solidity-smart-
contracts/tree/master/auto-farms/contracts
Commit:
      91c45116e825edeed07c410cf0eb447ccfc06800
Technical Documentation: Yes (autocomp-farm-documentation.pdf, md5:
e46addffc6fbd5ec032164382090d053)
JS tests: Yes, included
Contracts:
      FarmGate.sol
      FarmOptimiser.sol
      compounders/BaseCompounder.sol
      compounders/DelegateCompounder.sol
      compounders/FarmCompounder.sol
      compounders/pancakeswap/PCSCompounder.sol
      interfaces/IOptimiser.sol
      interfaces/IPancakeRouter01.sol
      interfaces/ICompounder.sol
      interfaces/IPancakeRouter02.sol
      interfaces/IWETH.sol
```

We have scanned this smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that are considered:



Category	Check Item
Code review	 Reentrancy
	 Ownership Takeover
	 Timestamp Dependence
	 Gas Limit and Loops
	 DoS with (Unexpected) Throw
	 DoS with Block Gas Limit
	 Transaction-Ordering Dependence
	 Style guide violation
	 Costly Loop
	 ERC20 API violation
	 Unchecked external call
	 Unchecked math
	 Unsafe type inference
	 Implicit visibility level
	 Deployment Consistency
	 Repository Consistency
	 Data Consistency
Functional review	
	 Business Logics Review
	 Functionality Checks
	 Access Control & Authorization
	 Escrow manipulation
	 Token Supply manipulation
	 Assets integrity
	 User Balances manipulation
	 Data Consistency manipulation
	 Kill-Switch Mechanism
	 Operation Trails & Event Generation

Executive Summary

According to the assessment, the Customer's smart contracts are well-secured.

Insecure	Poor secured	Secured	Well-secured
		You are here	

Our team performed an analysis of code functionality, manual audit, and automated checks with Mythril and Slither. All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed, and important vulnerabilities are presented



in the Audit overview section. All found issues can be found in the Audit overview section.

As a result of the audit, security engineers found **1** low severity issue.

After the second review security engineers found **all** issues were addressed.



Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description		
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to assets loss or data manipulations.		
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have a significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g., public access to crucial functions		
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to assets loss or data manipulations.		
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused, etc. code snippets that can't have a significant impact on execution		



Audit overview

🔳 🔳 🔳 Critical

No critical issues were found.

📕 📕 📕 High

No high severity issues were found.

🔳 🔳 Medium

No medium severity issues were found.

Low

Test is failing

Test case "should not update rewards when paused"(lines: #342-351) is failing due to a revert from <u>optimiser.update</u>, but according to code revert is expected behavior when the contract is paused.

Contracts: optimiser_test.js

Recommendation: Update test scenario or change <u>optimiser.update</u> behavior.

Status: Fixed.



Conclusion

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools.

The audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

As a result of the audit, security engineers found 1 low severity issue.

After the second review security engineers found **all** issues were addressed.



Disclaimers

Hacken Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment, and functionality (performing the intended functions).

The audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only – we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on a blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contracts.