HACKEN

Ч

SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT



Customer: Terablock Date: January 6th, 2022



This document may contain confidential information about IT systems and the intellectual property of the Customer as well as information about potential vulnerabilities and methods of their exploitation.

The report containing confidential information can be used internally by the Customer, or it can be disclosed publicly after all vulnerabilities are fixed – upon a decision of the Customer.

Document

Name	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for Terablock.	
Approved by	Andrew Matiukhin CTO Hacken OU	
Туре	Cross-chain exchange	
Platform	Ethereum / Solidity	
Methods	Architecture Review, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification, Manual Review	
Repository	https://github.com/TeraBlock/tb-bridge-v2-contracts	
Commit	a400d17c5040b5ce1611bab5a3f9cd8855dc179e	
Technical	YES	
Documentation		
JS tests	YES	
Website	terablock.com	
Timeline	24 DECEMBER 2021 - 06 JANUARY 2022	
Changelog	30 DECEMBER 2021 - INITIAL AUDIT	
	06 JANUARY 2022 - SECOND REVIEW	



Table of contents

Introduction	4
Scope	4
Executive Summary	5
Severity Definitions	7
Audit overview	8
Conclusion	9
Disclaimers	10



Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by Terablock (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of the Customer's smart contract and its code review conducted between December 24th, 2021 – December 30th, 2021.

Second review conducted on January 6th, 2022.

Scope

The scope of the project is smart contracts in the repository: Repository: https://github.com/TeraBlock/tb-bridge-v2-contracts Commit: a400d17c5040b5ce1611bab5a3f9cd8855dc179e Technical Documentation: Yes, https://docs.google.com/document/d/1jc_DD8jXBTtJF_iqQqmGNg9X_cUbwLDQ_JAkLmrNcA **JS tests:** Yes, in the repository Contracts: GameBridge.sol LockBridge.sol MintBridge.sol utils/EIP712Base.sol utils/NativeMetaTransaction.sol utils/AccessProtected.sol utils/ContextMixin.sol



We have scanned this smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that are considered:

Category	Check Item
Code review	Reentrancy
	 Ownership Takeover
	 Timestamp Dependence
	 Gas Limit and Loops
	 DoS with (Unexpected) Throw
	 DoS with Block Gas Limit
	 Transaction-Ordering Dependence
	 Style guide violation
	 Costly Loop
	 ERC20 API violation
	 Unchecked external call
	 Unchecked math
	 Unsafe type inference
	Implicit visibility level
	 Deployment Consistency
	 Repository Consistency
	 Data Consistency
Functional review	
	 Business Logics Review
	 Functionality Checks
	 Access Control & Authorization
	 Escrow manipulation
	 Token Supply manipulation
	 Assets integrity
	 User Balances manipulation
	 Data Consistency manipulation
	 Kill-Switch Mechanism
	 Operation Trails & Event Generation



Executive Summary

According to the assessment, the Customer's smart contracts are well-secured.

Insecure	Poor secured	Secured	Well-secured
		You are here	

Our team performed an analysis of code functionality, manual audit, and automated checks with Mythril and Slither. All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed, and important vulnerabilities are presented in the Audit overview section. All found issues can be found in the Audit overview section.

As a result of the audit, security engineers found 2 low severity issues.

After the second review security engineers found **no** issues.



Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have a significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g., public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused, etc. code snippets that can't have a significant impact on execution



Audit overview

🛛 🗖 🗖 Critical

No critical issues were found.

📕 📕 📕 High

No high severity issues were found.

🔳 🔳 Medium

No medium severity issues were found.

Low

1. A public function that could be declared external.

public functions that are never called by the contract should be declared external to save gas.

Contracts: NativeMetaTransaction.sol, LockBridge.sol, MintBridge.sol, GameBridge.sol

Functions: getNonce, executeMetaTransaction, recoverTokens, removeLiquidity, lockTokens, releaseTokensBatch, settleCommission, setMinAmount, pause, unpause, getBalance, recoverTokens, isAdmin

Recommendation: Use the **external** attribute for functions never called from the contract.

Status: fixed

2. Boolean equality

Boolean constants can be used directly and do not need to be compared to true or false.

Contracts: MintBridge.sol, LockBridge.sol

Functions: deposit, releaseTokens

Recommendation: remove the equality to the boolean constant.

Status: fixed



Conclusion

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools.

The audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

As a result of the audit, security engineers found **2** low severity issues.

After the second review security engineers found **no** issues.



Disclaimers

Hacken Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment, and functionality (performing the intended functions).

The audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only — we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on a blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contracts.