



**HACKEN**

# **SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT**

**Customer:** Kresus Labs

**Date:** April 21, 2023

This report may contain confidential information about IT systems and the intellectual property of the Customer, as well as information about potential vulnerabilities and methods of their exploitation.

The report can be disclosed publicly after prior consent by another Party. Any subsequent publication of this report shall be without mandatory consent.

## Document

<b>Name</b>	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for Kresus Labs
<b>Approved By</b>	Marcin Ugarenko   Lead Solidity SC Auditor at Hacken OU
<b>Type</b>	Storage Vault
<b>Platform</b>	EVM
<b>Language</b>	Solidity
<b>Methodology</b>	<a href="#">Link</a>
<b>Website</b>	<a href="https://www.kresus.com/">https://www.kresus.com/</a>
<b>Changelog</b>	09.03.2023 - Initial Review 03.04.2023 - Second Review 21.04.2023 - Third Review

## Table of contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Scope</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Severity Definitions</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Risks</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>System Overview</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Checked Items</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Findings</b>	<b>16</b>
Critical	16
High	16
H01. Requirements Violation	16
H02. Undocumented Behavior; Requirements Violation	16
H03. Requirements Violation	17
H04. Requirements Violation	17
H05. Requirements Violation	17
H06. EIP Standard Violation	18
H07. Undocumented Behavior	18
Medium	18
M01. Undocumented Behavior	18
M02. Requirements Violation	19
M03. Sign of Non-Finalized Code	19
M04. Unclear Logic	19
M05. Unclear Behavior	20
M06. Possible False-Negative Validation	20
M07. Interface Mismatch	20
Low	21
L01. Missing Zero Address Validation	21
L02. Unindexed Events	21
L03. Missing Events	21
L04. Unused Constants	22
L05. State Variables Can Be Declared Immutable	22
L06. Style Guide Violation: Variable Naming	22
L07. Style Guide Violation: Incorrect Ordering	23
L08. State Variables Default Visibility	23
L09. Typos	24
L10. Wrong Data Type in Event	24
L11. Misleading Event	24
L12. Conversion From Bytes32 to Uint256	25
L13. Missing Balance Validation	25
L15. Unused Code	25
L16. Boolean Equality	25
L17. Function Name - Functionality Mismatch	26
L18. Code Duplication	26
L19. Best Practices Violation	26

L20. CEI Pattern Violation	27
L21. Redundant Imports	27
L22. Gas Optimisation	27
L23. Gas Optimisation	27
L24. Commented Code	28
L25. Redundant Code	28
L26. Style Guide Violation - Variable Naming	28
L27. Redundant Override	29
L28. Usage of Uint instead of Uint256	29
L29. Recommendation	29
L30. Gas Optimisation	29
L31. Wrong Strict Check	30
L32. Unused Constants	30
L33. Missing Zero Address Validation	30
L34. Missing Events	31
L35. State Variables Can Be Declared Immutable	31
L36. Usage of Uint instead of Uint256	31
L37. State Variables Default Visibility	31
<b>Disclaimers</b>	<b>33</b>

## Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by Kresus Labs (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of the Customer's smart contracts.

## Scope

The scope of the project includes the following smart contracts from the provided repository:

### Initial review scope

<b>Repository</b>	<a href="https://github.com/Kresus-Labs-Inc/vault-mpc/tree/feat/mpc">https://github.com/Kresus-Labs-Inc/vault-mpc/tree/feat/mpc</a>
<b>Commit</b>	38db3f4
<b>Whitepaper</b>	-
<b>Functional Requirements</b>	Readme document
<b>Technical Requirements</b>	Readme document + NatSpec in the code
<b>Contracts</b>	<p>File: ./contracts/Factory.sol SHA3: f40797d8faf22af7a041a9ca3dbb0c79661651fcb1ba3ffad8806064b6830046</p> <p>File: ./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol SHA3: ee124fec515881d2b24f9500ffd2082bcb09729e9f54329163fac5e594bfa88e</p> <p>File: ./contracts/modules/common/IModule.sol SHA3: 542848acc19cd245eecd6e573894df0a00f205f324eead65428396d80bb8627c</p> <p>File: ./contracts/modules/common/Utils.sol SHA3: 64bd5204cdc5b7329ea649c0cfb0e46ff9a7d04de362af7d86bb84c955541409</p> <p>File: ./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol SHA3: 3263598d35287698a79d836792af0e8a4ce83a06fce3f91ddff040f820b5f792</p> <p>File: ./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol SHA3: 82c405f031c474891996af3042a311182c91618b226d4e2c7745aadcc0712d53</p> <p>File: ./contracts/modules/SecurityManager.sol SHA3: ed34b6c54169a3bed0be0c80346ffec0a6d0fa77e4dfd1d0676442a0fb2353bd</p> <p>File: ./contracts/modules/TransactionManager.sol SHA3: e12e61a9bfd02c2862a9bc1e17f827e894afd6580e18209879c74b324be239b0</p> <p>File: ./contracts/storage/IStorage.sol SHA3: a3b674f90b6fd2a55ed4b2481d42942f7a56b231960ac45b4f58b39d147ec193</p> <p>File: ./contracts/storage/Storage.sol SHA3: 5c98f0d0073cf1cb521f04605478e31e336ad97da51612922e4149347390824f</p> <p>File: ./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol SHA3: d4922f1ab3e97c937761f13f99d2753e03a2262d981a05ade591d41cc0718772</p>

	File: ./contracts/vault/IVault.sol SHA3: 7913db46db7ec36b15bcabb237666a4dbc3aa6cc0b1d54511ec7050fc87008b2  File: ./contracts/vault/Proxy.sol SHA3: c390b6dd7577c9f2cfab0d73a5ec89a975fecc3d07e36c8b9b937ecfc5741a31
--	---

## Second review scope

<b>Repository</b>	<a href="https://github.com/Kresus-Labs-Inc/vault-mpc/tree/feat/mpc">https://github.com/Kresus-Labs-Inc/vault-mpc/tree/feat/mpc</a>
<b>Commit</b>	e3b7611
<b>Functional Requirements</b>	Readme document
<b>Technical Requirements</b>	Readme document + NatSpec in the code
<b>Contracts</b>	File: ./contracts/infrastructure/Factory.sol SHA3: c51665cff75c792252c8b4b3fff931fd0c88c1642bd517d7f4d2cd619cd085b1  File: ./contracts/infrastructure/IModuleRegistry.sol SHA3: d7c023d66ad1fcbac4076b3c78261cee7829a3e1cc9615c5bc794576e10f7739  File: ./contracts/infrastructure/KresusUpgrader.sol SHA3: 01918cdaf48ad5894035b3b66f3f65c59f1861272f2502bd9c8287604edda2d5  File: ./contracts/infrastructure/ModuleRegistry.sol SHA3: 914744389e8d20de51cffc882c073dca03724a458054e7d3f934bde6494a0133  File: ./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol SHA3: 0b16e91e581d9adfb21ee94498bae871a59ac6cec7a9512771cedb7cd8a56a49  File: ./contracts/modules/common/IModule.sol SHA3: afc9785569ce9cd91d36bc7e5e71dbbb3f9e9aada2b683df201fae64de3d55f7  File: ./contracts/modules/common/Utils.sol SHA3: 1e89156d919f172b3ba2129f0a01c81753ea2c0a0e3ed9339dc03b3d1f485f3c  File: ./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol SHA3: 2d7550cc995b13dbb6209ea10b72c8d52426a04be33f82c69d7c65fb1cc748a5  File: ./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol SHA3: fe5642a8933dbddc1aa02fdce1858ede5e4eb798fb1fb07e4a60b4de1bfc275b  File: ./contracts/modules/SecurityManager.sol SHA3: 8b3afac0d10ffc7b2b0f24323c09dc756f8dc2908b372f9a17551fe915ff2171  File: ./contracts/modules/TransactionManager.sol SHA3: 0fc037872aa12e2f31e8bafaba27d476c66be6392416417c0f7a184ae6535a5b  File: ./contracts/storage/ISStorage.sol SHA3: 9e107a4621e077b4dbe7ddb0f559dae582f6d76d1f05c353912655c48852c4c0  File: ./contracts/storage/Storage.sol SHA3: 09161f3b9fe537f229b11f1b8477fc7bb87bf9e9c1dc7c97546338160d793a57  File: ./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol SHA3: a2cad7cceeec26ec158114c5856960b83f814633e39fff73c430298a00859251

	File: ./contracts/vault/IVault.sol SHA3: ba22d24efeff11bedd0a554969b466470aa95aaa3f21fe8ca768c9f2d5770395  File: ./contracts/vault/VaultProxy.sol SHA3: ad17b273bcadc6a6ba48dd0e83097117e2feb7f339cd4061772a8dccdb226165
--	--

### Third review scope

<b>Repository</b>	<a href="https://github.com/Kresus-Labs-Inc/vault-mpc/tree/feat/mpc">https://github.com/Kresus-Labs-Inc/vault-mpc/tree/feat/mpc</a>
<b>Commit</b>	1049921
<b>Functional Requirements</b>	Readme document
<b>Technical Requirements</b>	Readme document + NatSpec in the code
<b>Contracts</b>	File: ./contracts/infrastructure/Factory.sol SHA3: 92e99c2fcb9e755104dfe2e281d34401fe2577a0aca0585148f78227fd21eb3d  File: ./contracts/infrastructure/IModuleRegistry.sol SHA3: a2f02b2cb861790d97d250661ce6219d1c5284d6d8f7bea59f3973d8a7952eb8  File: ./contracts/infrastructure/KresusUpgrader.sol SHA3: 6bb7e44a95c444534d3a3e2f9782b81d7ae5d53f836abaed3199ace75e26e5b7  File: ./contracts/infrastructure/ModuleRegistry.sol SHA3: d423b6a7e60cdbe2282147fc0f2dc9afab1e4291aed3a4a51c46a404b05effeb  File: ./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol SHA3: 9e2eceb885fcac01d1aad1a151dfc7baeb01396f2ebe0e362167dd21b38d94d5  File: ./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol SHA3: fd2cb06576c082fce9e11f419eae0ad300f03df760642e49292b1f72670d0b97  File: ./contracts/modules/SecurityManager.sol SHA3: 73e50c53df1cc1c060ce632eb7b5d58a87ae6bd7fc9039d9d68078da9b6caf9e  File: ./contracts/modules/TransactionManager.sol SHA3: 0fc037872aa12e2f31e8bafaba27d476c66be6392416417c0f7a184ae6535a5b  File: ./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol SHA3: bdc72d8abe7f01866dbeb361e29cb07c7e152bb48ca5695be8e3f81ad8b2b574  File: ./contracts/modules/common/IModule.sol SHA3: afc9785569ce9cd91d36bc7e5e71dbbb3f9e9aada2b683df201fae64de3d55f7  File: ./contracts/modules/common/Utils.sol SHA3: 1e89156d919f172b3ba2129f0a01c81753ea2c0a0e3ed9339dc03b3d1f485f3c  File: ./contracts/storage/ISStorage.sol SHA3: 9e107a4621e077b4dbe7ddb0f559dae582f6d76d1f05c353912655c48852c4c0  File: ./contracts/storage/Storage.sol SHA3: 09161f3b9fe537f229b11f1b8477fc7bb87bf9e9c1dc7c97546338160d793a57  File: ./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol SHA3: 6812c8b9392b40c295cf223676856d67dc345fb84781e718d5be5e62f868ea39

	<p>File: ./contracts/vault/IVault.sol SHA3: 68bacc3549b753b561fea9527e43f2e52fa1887e34d3bccc56db35e391611ce3</p> <p>File: ./contracts/vault/VaultProxy.sol SHA3: 14c224523fa6db172a94376016377dfe4dfaa98b29b9444f8b8652e16a7c1d20</p>
--	---



## Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
<b>Critical</b>	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to the loss of user funds or contract state manipulation by external or internal actors.
<b>High</b>	High vulnerabilities are usually harder to exploit, requiring specific conditions, or have a more limited scope, but can still lead to the loss of user funds or contract state manipulation by external or internal actors.
<b>Medium</b>	Medium vulnerabilities are usually limited to state manipulations but cannot lead to asset loss. Major deviations from best practices are also in this category.
<b>Low</b>	Low vulnerabilities are related to outdated and unused code or minor Gas optimization. These issues won't have a significant impact on code execution but affect code quality

## Executive Summary

The score measurement details can be found in the corresponding section of the [scoring methodology](#).

### Documentation quality

The total Documentation Quality score is **10** out of **10**.

- No Whitepaper is provided.
- Functional and technical requirements are provided and well described the project.
- The NatSpec comments are extensive.

### Code quality

The total Code Quality score is **10** out of **10**.

- The code is well structured with separation of concerns.
- The development environment is configured, though it requires manually setting environment variables from the developer.

### Test coverage

Code coverage of the project is **98.94%** (branch coverage).

- Deployment and basic user interactions are covered with tests.
- Only a few negative cases are covered.
- Interactions by several users are not tested thoroughly.

### Security score

As a result of the audit, the code contains **2** low severity issues. The security score is **10** out of **10**.

All found issues are displayed in the “Findings” section.

### Summary

According to the assessment, the Customer's smart contract has the following score: **9.9**.



*Table. The distribution of issues during the audit*

Review date	Low	Medium	High	Critical
09 March 2023	31	6	7	0
3 April 2023	10	1	1	0

21 April 2023	2	0	0	0
---------------	---	---	---	---

## Risks

- Front-end may receive zero address as a valid return value instead of an error.
- The systems rely heavily on low-level calls using function selectors, which may lead to vulnerabilities and unexpected behavior.

## System Overview

*Kresus Vault* is a blockchain-based secure storage facility for digital assets like cryptocurrency, NFTs, and other tokens. The system consists from the following contracts:

- *BaseModule* – an abstract contract for a module, containing mainly internal view functions.
- *Utils* – a library which contains helper methods dealing with signatures and function selectors.
- *KresusModule* – a contract representing a *module* i.e. a vault of valuables.
- *KresusRelayer* – an abstract contract with methods for executing transactions signed by accounts outside of Ethereum.
- *SecurityManager* – an abstract contract dealing with security features of the *KresusModule* i.e. guardians, lock, recovery etc.
- *TransactionManager* – an abstract contract for executing transactions in sequence or calling third-party contracts.
- *Storage* – a contract containing methods on voting, setting locks and assigning guardians.
- *Proxy* – a contract which delegated all calls to another fixed contract.
- *Factory* – a contract which deploys new vaults against a set deployer, which can be changed.

### Privileged roles

- The *Module* in *Storage* contract can set locks, toggle votings, add/revoke guardians, add/revoke heirs.
- The *Deployer* of the *Factory* contract can set a new deployer address and deploy a new vault.
- The *KresusGuardian* in the *KresusModule* contract can revoke guardian from a specific vault, lock or unlock vault. When voting is enabled - *KresusGuardian* signature is also required to revoke guardian.
- The *Guardian* in the *KresusModule* contract can revoke guardian. During voting guardian signature is also required to enable ERC1155 token receiver, add new module, add new guardian, transfer vault ownership, revoke guardian, lock vault, perform multicall, toggle voting and set time delay.
- The *Owner's* signature in the *KresusModule* can be necessary for certain types of transactions.
- The *Module* in the *BaseVault* can authorize modules, enable static calls, set an owner, or invoke a generic transaction.

## Checked Items

We have audited the Customers' smart contracts for commonly known and specific vulnerabilities. Here are some items considered:

Item	Type	Description	Status
Default Visibility	<a href="#">SWC-100</a> <a href="#">SWC-108</a>	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	Passed
Integer Overflow and Underflow	<a href="#">SWC-101</a>	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	Not Relevant
Outdated Compiler Version	<a href="#">SWC-102</a>	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	Passed
Floating Pragma	<a href="#">SWC-103</a>	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	Passed
Unchecked Call Return Value	<a href="#">SWC-104</a>	The return value of a message call should be checked.	Passed
Access Control & Authorization	<a href="#">CWE-284</a>	Ownership takeover should not be possible. All crucial functions should be protected. Users could not affect data that belongs to other users.	Passed
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	<a href="#">SWC-106</a>	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	Not Relevant
Check-Effect-Interaction	<a href="#">SWC-107</a>	Check-Effect-Interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs ANY external call.	Passed
Assert Violation	<a href="#">SWC-110</a>	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	Passed
Deprecated Solidity Functions	<a href="#">SWC-111</a>	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	Passed
Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	<a href="#">SWC-112</a>	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	Passed
DoS (Denial of Service)	<a href="#">SWC-113</a> <a href="#">SWC-128</a>	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	Passed

<b>Race Conditions</b>	<a href="#">SWC-114</a>	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	Passed
<b>Authorization through tx.origin</b>	<a href="#">SWC-115</a>	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	Passed
<b>Block values as a proxy for time</b>	<a href="#">SWC-116</a>	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	Not Relevant
<b>Signature Unique Id</b>	<a href="#">SWC-117</a> <a href="#">SWC-121</a> <a href="#">SWC-122</a> <a href="#">EIP-155</a> <a href="#">EIP-712</a>	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id. Chain identifiers should always be used. All parameters from the signature should be used in signer recovery. EIP-712 should be followed during a signer verification.	Passed
<b>Shadowing State Variable</b>	<a href="#">SWC-119</a>	State variables should not be shadowed.	Passed
<b>Weak Sources of Randomness</b>	<a href="#">SWC-120</a>	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	Not Relevant
<b>Incorrect Inheritance Order</b>	<a href="#">SWC-125</a>	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order.	Passed
<b>Calls Only to Trusted Addresses</b>	<a href="#">EEA-Leve1-2</a> <a href="#">SWC-126</a>	All external calls should be performed only to trusted addresses.	Passed
<b>Presence of Unused Variables</b>	<a href="#">SWC-131</a>	The code should not contain unused variables if this is not <a href="#">justified</a> by design.	Passed
<b>EIP Standards Violation</b>	<a href="#">EIP</a>	EIP standards should not be violated.	Passed
<b>Assets Integrity</b>	Custom	Funds are protected and cannot be withdrawn without proper permissions or be locked on the contract.	Passed
<b>User Balances Manipulation</b>	Custom	Contract owners or any other third party should not be able to access funds belonging to users.	Passed
<b>Data Consistency</b>	Custom	Smart contract data should be consistent all over the data flow.	Passed

<b>Flashloan Attack</b>	<b>Custom</b>	When working with exchange rates, they should be received from a trusted source and not be vulnerable to short-term rate changes that can be achieved by using flash loans. Oracles should be used.	Not Relevant
<b>Token Supply Manipulation</b>	<b>Custom</b>	Tokens can be minted only according to rules specified in a whitepaper or any other documentation provided by the Customer.	Not Relevant
<b>Gas Limit and Loops</b>	<b>Custom</b>	Transaction execution costs should not depend dramatically on the amount of data stored on the contract. There should not be any cases when execution fails due to the block Gas limit.	Passed
<b>Style Guide Violation</b>	<b>Custom</b>	Style guides and best practices should be followed.	Passed
<b>Requirements Compliance</b>	<b>Custom</b>	The code should be compliant with the requirements provided by the Customer.	Passed
<b>Environment Consistency</b>	<b>Custom</b>	The project should contain a configured development environment with a comprehensive description of how to compile, build and deploy the code.	Passed
<b>Secure Oracles Usage</b>	<b>Custom</b>	The code should have the ability to pause specific data feeds that it relies on. This should be done to protect a contract from compromised oracles.	Not Relevant
<b>Tests Coverage</b>	<b>Custom</b>	The code should be covered with unit tests. Test coverage should be sufficient, with both negative and positive cases covered. Usage of contracts by multiple users should be tested.	Passed
<b>Stable Imports</b>	<b>Custom</b>	The code should not reference draft contracts, which may be changed in the future.	Passed

## Findings

### Critical

No critical severity issues were found.

### High

#### H01. Requirements Violation

Storage contract allows authorized modules to add and revoke heir, but this functionality is not implemented in the current system, which makes `vaultStorage[_vault].heir` redundant or is a sign of non-finalized code.

**Path:**

`./contracts/storage/Storage.sol : addHeir(), revokeHeir()`

**Recommendation:** Implement add/remove *heir* logic in the *BaseModule* contract or remove this functionality.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### H02. Undocumented Behavior; Requirements Violation

The correctness of the `getRequiredSignatures` function could not be verified because of missing documentation.

During the second review, we found mismatch which should be fixed: `removeGuardian` functionality should be available for owner only when voting is not enabled, but existing implementation allows Owner, or Guardian, or KWG to execute it.

`addModule` functionality is not documented and cannot be verified.

`executeBequeathal` functionality, according to the docs, should not be added to the queue.

According to the documentation, owner should be able to cancel queued request, but `getCancelRequiredSignatures` function does not contain `SecurityManager.executeBequeathal.selector` check, so this functionality is not allowed to the owner, which violates the requirements.

**Path:**

`./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol : getRequiredSignatures(), getCancelRequiredSignatures();`

**Recommendation:** Add documentation for `addModule`. Fix code or update documentation for `removeGuardian` and `executeBequeathal`. Add `executeBequeathal.selector` to the `getCancelRequiredSignatures`.



**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)

### H03. Requirements Violation

According to NatSpec for the `addGuardian` function - "The first guardian is added immediately. All following additions must be confirmed by calling the `confirmGuardianAddition()` method."

The existing implementation allows the addition of only a single guardian and `confirmGuardianAddition` function does not exist.

**Path:**

`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol`

**Recommendation:** Update NatSpec or implement `confirmGuardianAddition` function.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

### H04. Requirements Violation

The existing implementation does not allow revoking an existing guardian - the `confirmGuardianRevokation` function does not exist.

**Path:**

`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol`

**Recommendation:** Update NatSpec or implement `confirmGuardianRevokation` function.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

### H05. Requirements Violation

In the `cancel()` function, it is mentioned that it is used to "cancels a transaction which was queued", but not all transactions in queue can be canceled.

The `getCancelRequiredSignatures()` function does not return needed Signature enum for all the possible queueable transactions.

In result `addModule()`, `unlock()`, `setTimeDelay()` cannot be canceled.

**Path:**

`./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : cancel()`

**Recommendation:** Implement canceling functionality for all types of transactions.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** **Mitigated** (Certain operations cannot be canceled as part of business requirement.)

#### H06. EIP Standard Violation

The `isValidSignature` is not implemented in the `TransactionManager`, despite the contract responding to the selector in `supportsStaticCall()`. This can be a result of `ERC1271_IS_VALID_SIGNATURE` not being implemented properly.

**Path:**

`./contracts/modules/TransactionManager.sol`

**Recommendation:** Implement the functionality related to `ERC1271_IS_VALID_SIGNATURE`.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** **Fixed** (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### H07. Undocumented Behavior

Inside the `setTimeDelay()` function, in case of `VotingEnabled == true`, the function returns `false` for queue transactions, where it returns `true` in case of `VotingEnabled == false`. This is probably an unintended behavior.

**Path:**

`./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol : getRequiredSignatures()`

**Recommendation:** Return `false` for queue translations in case of `VotingEnabled == false` or mention the original behavior in the documentation.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** **Fixed** (Revised commit: e3b7611)

### ■ ■ Medium

#### M01. Undocumented Behavior

There is no documentation regarding when and where the `Received` event should be emitted. For example, in the current form of how the `Factory` contract deploys the `Proxy` contract, emitting `Received` in the `init()` function appears to be redundant.

Directly emitting the `Received` event in the `receive()` function in the `Proxy` contract is a bad practice, as the call to the `receive()` function should be forwarded to the `BaseVault` implementation and the event should be emitted inside the `receive()` function there.

[www.hacken.io](http://www.hacken.io)

**Path:**`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol`

**Recommendation:** Rethink where the Received event should be emitted and change the code accordingly.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

**M02. Requirements Violation**

According to the NatSpec documentation, the function *enabled()* *“Returns the module responsible for a static call redirection.”*. However, the code returns the state variable `staticCallExecutor` in case of happy-path or a zero-address otherwise.

**Path:**`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : enabled()`

**Recommendation:** Change the documentation or the logic of the function.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

**M03. Sign of Non-Finalized Code**

BaseVault provides modules an API to add or remove modules, but only add functionality is implemented (in `KresusModule`) and there is no possibility to remove the previously added module.

**Path:**`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : authoriseModule()`

**Recommendation:** Add the possibility to remove modules.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

**M04. Unclear Logic**

`TransactionManager` uses `enableDefaultStaticCalls` to enable static calls (for `ERC1271_IS_VALID_SIGNATURE` and `ERC721_RECEIVED`).

`BaseVault` `enableStaticCall` ignores method signature and enables static calls for the whole contract. So there is no need to call it multiple times.

It also violates the NatSpec from the parent interface `IVault`, which states: "Enables a static method by specifying the target module to which the call must be delegated."

The logic might be incomplete.



### Path:

```
./contracts/modules/TransactionManager.sol :
enableDefaultStaticCalls()
```

**Recommendation:** Check if implemented logic is correct. Clarify requirements or remove duplicated calls.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

## M05. Unclear Behavior

```
uint256 nonceBlock = (_nonce &
0xffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff00000000000000000000000000000000)
>> 128; will return value bigger than 0 only when _nonce is bigger
than 1 * 10^40. Such a big nonce looks unclear and redundant.
```

```
Path: ./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol :  
checkAndUpdateUniqueness()
```

**Recommendation:** Clarify requirements, remove this validation, or construct nonce from block.number and block.timestamp.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Mitigated (This is a part of business logic.)

## M06. Possible False-Negative Validation

The `validateSignatures` function has multiple places, where two signatures are required to perform an action, but this check requires a stick order of signing: owner is first, guardian or Kresus guardian is the second.

In case the guardian signs it first - this check would fail, despite the fact that the requirement is correct - both addresses signed the message.

**Path:**

```
./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol : validateSignatures()
```

**Recommendation:** Add reverse order of signatures check as well.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Mitigated (Transaction must be initiated by the owner.)

## M07. Interface Mismatch

Interface `IModuleRegistry` does not match `ModuleRegistry` contract. Implementation does not contain `registerUpgrader`, `deregisterUpgrader`, `recoverToken`, `upgraderInfo`, `isRegisteredUpgrader` functions. Interface declares `bytes32` parameters, when contract implements them as `string`.

**Path:**`./contracts/infrastructure/IModuleRegistry.sol`**Recommendation:** Match interface with contract.**Found in:** e3b7611b27715983868526ae6dc858ba3a7f5933**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)

## ■ Low

**L01. Missing Zero Address Validation**

Address parameters are being used without checking against the possibility of `0x0`. This can lead to unwanted external calls to `0x0`.

During the second review, we identified the same issue in the `init()` function of `BaseVault`.

**Paths:**`./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol : constructor()  
./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : constructor(), execute()  
./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : init()  
./contracts/Factory.sol : constructor(), changeDeployer()`**Recommendation:** Implement zero address checks.**Found in:** 38db3f4**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)**L02. Unindexed Events**

Having indexed parameters in the events makes it easier to search for these events using indexed parameters as filters.

**Paths:**`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : OwnerChanged  
./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol : ModuleCreated  
./contracts/Factory.sol : NewVaultDeployed`**Recommendation:** Use the “indexed” keyword to the event parameters.**Found in:** 38db3f4**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)**L03. Missing Events**

Events for critical state changes should be emitted for tracking things off-chain.

**Paths:**`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : receive(), authoriseModule(),  
enableStaticCall(), init()  
./contracts/Factory.sol : constructor(), changeDeployer()`

**Recommendation:** Create and emit related events.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L04. Unused Constants

Unused constants and variables should be removed from the contracts. Unused constants are allowed in Solidity and do not pose a direct security issue.

It is best practice to avoid them as they can cause an increase in computations (and unnecessary Gas consumption) and decrease readability.

**Paths:**

```
./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol : ETH_TOKEN  
./contracts/modules/common/Utils.sol : ERC20_TRANSFER, ERC20_APPROVE,  
ERC721_SET_APPROVAL_FOR_ALL, ERC721_TRANSFER_FROM,  
ERC721_SAFE_TRANSFER_FROM, ERC721_SAFE_TRANSFER_FROM_BYTES,  
ERC1155_SAFE_TRANSFER_FROM, OWNER_SIG
```

**Recommendation:** Remove unused constants.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L05. State Variables Can Be Declared Immutable

Compared to regular state variables, the Gas costs of constant and immutable variables are much lower. Immutable variables are evaluated once at construction time, and their value is copied to all the places in the code where they are accessed.

**Path:**

```
./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : refundAddress
```

**Recommendation:** Declare mentioned variables as immutable.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L06. Style Guide Violation: Variable Naming

Unlike types, variables must begin with a lowercase letter. Naming a variable with an uppercase letter may confuse developers and auditors, as it is a convention reserved for types.

All variables must be written in camel case.

During the second review, we identified “Storage” naming in BaseModule constructor.

**Paths:**

```
./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol : Storage  
./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol : line 81  
./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : line 23, line 33
```

**Recommendation:** Rename state variable *Storage* to *storage*, *arrayindex* to *arrayIndex*, *VotingEnabled* to *votingEnabled*, *SignatureRequirement* to *signatureRequirement*.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)

**L07. Style Guide Violation: Incorrect Ordering**

Enum and struct definitions must be placed before state variables.

External functions cannot go after external view functions.

**Paths:**

```
./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol : Signature  
./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : RelayerConfig  
./contracts/modules/TransactionManager.sol : Call  
./contracts/storage/Storage.sol : StorageConfig  
./contracts/vault/IVault.sol : setOwner()
```

**Recommendation:** Follow the official Solidity guidelines:  
<https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.13/style-guide.html>

Use *solhint* static analyzer with ordering *rule* enabled in order to get hints for layout order.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

**L08. State Variables Default Visibility**

The explicit visibility makes it easier to catch incorrect assumptions about who can access the variable.

**Paths:**

```
./contracts/storage/Storage.sol : vaultStorage  
./contracts/Factory.sol : deployer, baseVaultImpl
```

**Recommendation:** Specify variables as public, internal, or private. Explicitly define visibility for all state variables.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

## L09. Typos

Any typos encountered in the code or documentation should be addressed.

During the second review, there is still typo in “initilization”

### Paths:

`./contracts/modules/SecurityManager.sol` : OwnershipTransfered, line :106, line :142;  
`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol` : line :40;

**Recommendation:** Rename:

*OwnershipTransfered* to *OwnershipTransferred*,  
*votig* to *voting*,  
*Revokation* to *Revocation*,  
*adress* to *address*,  
*queuedActionExectionTime* to *queuedActionExecutionTime*,  
*initilization* to *initialization*

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)

## L10. Wrong Data Type in Event

The event *ModuleCreated* uses `bytes32` for the field *name*. While technically bytes and strings are internally the same data, however, since events can be used only on the client code, it makes more sense to store this data as a string.

### Path:

`./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol` : *ModuleCreated*

**Recommendation:** Change data type of *name* to *string*.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

## L11. Misleading Event

Events should provide useful information for the users or client apps. The event *ModuleCreated* has the only field *name* which can contain arbitrary data unrelated to the emitter contract.

### Path:

`./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol` : *ModuleCreated*

**Recommendation:** Consider adding useful information to the event e.g. the created contract’s address.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)



#### L12. Conversion From Bytes32 to Uint256

The function expects a parameter `_timeDelay` in the form of bytes32. Later the parameter is converted to uint256. This can potentially lead to wrong conversion, since bytes in Solidity are big-endian, while integers are little-endian.

**Path:**

`./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol : init()`

**Recommendation:** Change the parameter `_timeDelay` to uint256. If bytes32 is used by design, it should be mentioned in the documentation.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** **Mitigated** (As Only Kresus can deploy the contract and pass this init value, the possibility of an attacker taking advantage of this is low.)

#### L13. Missing Balance Validation

The function `invoke()` sends the value defined by the function parameter. If the contract does not have enough balance, this may lead to an unclear transaction error.

**Path:**

`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : invoke()`

**Recommendation:** Add balance validation check before sending native tokens.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** **Fixed** (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L15. Unused Code

The contract `SecurityManager` contains a modifier `onlyGuardianOrSelf` which is never used throughout the project.

**Path:**

`./contracts/modules/SecurityManager.sol : onlyGuardianOrSelf()`

**Recommendation:** Remove the modifier `onlyGuardianOrSelf`.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** **Fixed** (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L16. Boolean Equality

Boolean constants can be used directly and do not need to be compared to true or false.

**Paths:**

`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : authoriseModule()`

[www.hacken.io](http://www.hacken.io)

```
./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : execute()  
./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol : getRequiredSignatures()
```

**Recommendation:** Remove boolean equality.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L17. Function Name - Functionality Mismatch

`addGuardian` function makes it clear from its name that it is possible to add multiple guardians, but this function actually sets a single guardian.

The same is applicable to the `addHeir` function.

**Path:**

```
./contracts/storage/Storage.sol : addGuardian(), addHeir()
```

**Recommendation:** Rename this function to `setGuardian`.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L18. Code Duplication

`SecurityManager.lock.selector` check is implemented twice in the `getRequiredSignatures` function, which is redundant and could be removed.

**Path:**

```
./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol: getRequiredSignatures()
```

**Recommendation:** Remove code duplication.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L19. Best Practices Violation

`Proxy` implementation looks like a custom non-standard modification of EIP-897 standard, as `receive()` function does not delegate a call to `_implementation`, but just emits an event.

**Path:**

```
./contracts/vault/Proxy.sol
```

**Recommendation:** Use Proxy contract from OpenZeppelin.

**Found in:** 38db3f4d089054820f6734ca20647748eaa475ac

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

## L20. CEI Pattern Violation

The Checks-Effects-Interactions pattern is violated. During the function `invoke()`, an event is emitted after the external call. This is partly remediated by the fact that only a module can call this function.

**Path:**

`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : invoke()`

**Recommendation:** Emit the event before the external call.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

## L21. Redundant Imports

Unused imports should be removed from the contracts. Unused imports are allowed in Solidity and do not pose a direct security issue. It is best practice to avoid them as they can decrease readability.

During the second review, we identified that KresusRelayer related imports were not deleted.

**Paths:**

`./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : Utils, IStorage (not fixed)`  
`./contracts/modules/SecurityManager.sol : SafeCast, Utils, KresusRelayer`

**Recommendation:** Remove the redundant imports.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)

## L22. Gas Optimisation

`Storage.getTimeDelay(_vault)` is read twice in the KresusRelayer in case of `if(queue == true)`. This increases Gas cost of the function execution.

**Path:**

`./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : execute()`

**Recommendation:** Store the value in a local variable.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

## L23. Gas Optimisation

The check `require(isActionQueued(_vault, _actionHash), "KR: Invalid hash")` is redundant in the `cancelAll()` function, as the execution logic is going in loop and takes `_actionHash` from the queue.

**Path:**

```
./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : cancelAll()
```

**Recommendation:** Remove the redundant check.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

**L24. Commented Code**

The projects contain commented-out blocks of code:

```
// KresusRelayer(_vault).cancelAll(_vault);  
// import "@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/SafeCast.sol";
```

Commented code is a sign of unfinished code and should be removed from the finalized code.

**Paths:**

```
./contracts/modules/SecurityManager.sol : lock()  
./contracts/modules/TransactionManager.sol
```

**Recommendation:** Remove the commented code blocks.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

**L25. Redundant Code**

The `enableERC1155TokenReceiver()` function does not add any business value and has no use. This can probably be an unfinalized code.

**Path:**

```
./contracts/modules/TransactionManager.sol :  
enableERC1155TokenReceiver()
```

**Recommendation:** Remove the mentioned code block.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

**L26. Style Guide Violation - Variable Naming**

The enum elements `OwnerandGuardian` and `OwnerandGuardianOrOwnerandKWG` break the camel-case naming convention by not having an uppercase *And*. This makes reading and understanding code more difficult.

**Path:**

```
./contracts/modules/common/BaseModule.sol : Signature
```

**Recommendation:** Rename the enum elements to follow the camel-case convention.

Found in: 38db3f4

Status: **Fixed** (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L27. Redundant Override

The contract `BaseVault` contains redundant overrides: `owner`, `authorised`, `modules`, `authoriseModule()`, `enabled()`, `enableStaticCall()`, `setOwner()`.

**Path:**

`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol`

**Recommendation:** Remove the redundant *override* modifiers.

Found in: 38db3f4

Status: **Fixed** (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L28. Usage of Uint instead of Uint256

Although `uint` is no more than an alias of `uint256` in Solidity, it is generally recommended to type this data type as `uint256` to avoid confusion and make intention clear.

**Paths:**

`./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol: refund()`  
`./contracts/modules/TransactionManager.sol : multiCallWithApproval()`  
`./contracts/modules/common/Utils.sol : recoverSigner()`  
`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : Invoked, Received, invoke()`

**Recommendation:** Replace `uint` declarations with `uint256`.

Found in: 38db3f4

Status: **Fixed** (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L29. Recommendation

Adding a `constructor()` to the `BaseVault` with `owner = msg.sender` will prevent implementation contract initialization.

**Path:**

`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol`

**Recommendation:** Add a constructor to the `BaseVault` contract.

Found in: 38db3f4

Status: **Fixed** (Revised commit: e3b7611)

#### L30. Gas Optimisation

The check `require(authorised[_modules[i]] == false, "BW: module is already added")` is redundant as there already was a check `modules == 0`.

The redundant check increases Gas spending.

**Path:** `./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : init()`

**Recommendation:** Remove the redundant check.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

### L31. Wrong Strict Check

The strict check `_newTimeDelay > MIN_TIME_DELAY` is likely to be non-strict, i.e. `newTimeDelay >= MIN_TIME_DELAY`.

**Path:**

`./contracts/storage/Storage.sol : setTimeDelay()`

**Recommendation:** Replace strict-check with non-strict.

**Found in:** 38db3f4

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: e3b7611)

### L32. Unused Constants

Unused constants and variables should be removed from the contracts. Unused constants are allowed in Solidity and do not pose a direct security issue.

It is best practice to avoid them as they can cause an increase in computations (and unnecessary Gas consumption) and decrease readability.

**Path:**

`./contracts/modules/KresusModule.sol : NAME`

**Recommendation:** Remove unused constants.

**Found in:** e3b7611

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)

### L33. Missing Zero Address Validation

Address parameters are being used without checking against the possibility of 0x0. This can lead to unwanted external calls to 0x0.

**Paths:**

`./contracts/modules/common/SecurityManager.sol : validateNewOwner()`

`./contracts/infrastructure/KresusUpgrader.sol : constructor()`

**Recommendation:** Implement zero address checks.

**Found in:** e3b7611

**Status:** Reported

### L34. Missing Events

Events for critical state changes should be emitted for tracking things off-chain.

**Path:**

`./contracts/vault/BaseVault.sol : setOwner()`

**Recommendation:** Create and emit related events.

**Found in:** e3b7611

**Status:** Reported

### L35. State Variables Can Be Declared Immutable

Compared to regular state variables, the Gas costs of constant and immutable variables are much lower. Immutable variables are evaluated once at construction time, and their value is copied to all the places in the code where they are accessed.

**Path:**

`./contracts/infrastructure/KresusUpgrader.sol : registry`

**Recommendation:** Declare mentioned variables as immutable.

**Found in:** e3b7611

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)

### L36. Usage of Uint instead of Uint256

Although `uint` is no more than an alias of `uint256` in Solidity, it is generally recommended to type this data type as `uint256` to avoid confusion and make intention clear.

**Path:**

`./contracts/infrastructure/ModuleRegistry.sol : isRegisteredModule`

**Recommendation:** Replace `uint` declarations with `uint256`.

**Found in:** e3b7611

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)

### L37. State Variables Default Visibility

The explicit visibility makes it easier to catch incorrect assumptions about who can access the variable.

**Paths:**

`./contracts/modules/KresusRelayer.sol : BLOCKBOUND`

`./contracts/vault/VaultProxy.sol : implementation`

**Recommendation:** Specify variables as public, internal, or private. Explicitly define visibility for all state variables.



**Found in:** e3b7611

**Status:** Fixed (Revised commit: 1049921)



## Disclaimers

### Hacken Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed based on best industry practices at the time of the writing of this report, with cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment, and functionality (performing the intended functions).

The report contains no statements or warranties on the identification of all vulnerabilities and security of the code. The report covers the code submitted and reviewed, so it may not be relevant after any modifications. Do not consider this report as a final and sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other contract statements.

While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only – we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

English is the original language of the report. The Consultant is not responsible for the correctness of the translated versions.

### Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on a blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the Consultant cannot guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contracts.